OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

8 MARCH 2017

WORK PROGRAMME 2016/17

REPORT OF THE CORPORATE DIRECTOR FOR STRATEGY AND RESOURCES

1. Purpose

To consider and set the overall programme and timetable for scrutiny activity for the forthcoming year.

2. Action required

2.1 The Committee is asked to note the items scheduled on the work programme for the Overview and Scrutiny Committee and Scrutiny Review Panels for 2016/17.

3. Background information

- 3.1 One of the main roles of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee is setting, managing and co-ordinating the overall programme of scrutiny work. This includes:
 - mapping out an initial programme for scrutiny at the start of the municipal year
 - monitoring progress against the programme throughout the year, and making amendments as required
 - evaluating the impact of scrutiny activity and using lessons learnt to inform future decisions about scrutiny activity.
- 3.4 In setting the programme for scrutiny activity, the Committee should aim for an outcome-focused work programme that has clear priorities and is matched against the resources available to deliver the programme. It is intended to hold fewer, but more in depth reviews which will enable panels to explore and challenge more.

Commissioning scrutiny reviews

- 3.5 Delivery of the programme will primarily be through the commissioning of time-limited (2 to 3 meetings maximum) review panels to carry out reviews into specific, focused topics. All reviews must have the potential to make a positive impact on improving the wellbeing of local communities and people who live and/or work in Nottingham; and to ensure resources are used to their full potential, reviews must have a clear and tight focus and be set a realistic but challenging timetable for their completion.
- 3.6 In setting the programme of scrutiny reviews, it is important that the programme has flexibility to incorporate unplanned scrutiny work requested in-year. However, the Committee will only be able to schedule

unplanned work after it has reassessed priorities across the scrutiny programme and considered the impact on existing reviews of the diversion of resources. When the Committee monitors the overall programme for scrutiny at each meeting there will be opportunity to do this.

- 3.7 The Committee held a workshop session in March 2016 and identified a number of areas for consideration during 2016/17. These topics have been identified and are listed in Appendix 1 to this report.
- 3.8 When establishing a review panel, the Committee needs to decide on:
 - a clear and tight remit for the review
 - a timescale within which the review should be carried out
 - size of review panel, including whether any co-opted members should be involved
 - chair of the review panel (to be appointed from the pool of five scrutiny chairs)

and should have regard to the need over the year to engage as many councillors as possible in the scrutiny process.

Policy briefings

- 3.9 Through the process of developing the programme for scrutiny, the Committee may identify issues which call for a policy briefing. The purpose of these briefings is to inform councillors about a current key issue or to prepare councillors for review work that has been commissioned. These informal briefings will not be occasions for scrutiny to be carried out, although they may result in a suggestion for a new scrutiny topic, which would need to be considered by this Committee against the current programme for scrutiny and available resource.
- 3.10 Policy briefings will not form part of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee's agenda but will be held separately and be open to all councillors to attend.

Monitoring programme for scrutiny

3.11 On an ongoing basis the Committee will be responsible for managing and co-ordinating the programme for scrutiny and assessing the impact of scrutiny activity. At all future meetings the Committee will monitor the progress of the programme, making amendments as appropriate.

4. List of attached information

4.1 The following information can be found in the appendices to this report:

Appendix 1 - feasibility criteria for topics

Appendix 2 - long list of main scrutiny topics

Appendix 3 - long-list of potential future OSC/SRP topics

- 5. <u>Background papers, other than published works or those disclosing exempt or confidential information</u>
- 5.1 None
- 6. Published documents referred to in compiling this report
- 6.1 None
- 7. Wards affected
- 7.1 Citywide
- 8. Contact information

Laura Wilson
Senior Governance Officer
0115 8764301
laura.wilson@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

Appendix 1

Feasibility Criteria

Decision making and being a critical friend Public Interest and relevance	Is it a topic/key decision which requires consultation with Overview and Scrutiny prior to the decision being taken. Is the topic still relevant in terms of it still being an issue for citizens, partners or the council in terms of performance, delivery or cancellation of services?	Yes – include. No – apply other criteria and consider removing Yes – apply other criteria and consider inclusion No – apply other criteria and consider removing
Ability to change or influence	Can the Committee actively influence the council or its partners to accept recommendations and ensure positive outcomes for citizens and therefore be able to demonstrate the value and impact that scrutiny can have?	Yes – apply other criteria and consider inclusion No – apply other criteria and consider removing
Range and scope of impact	Is this a large topic area impacting on significant areas of the population and the council's partners or significant impact on minority groups. Is there interest from partners and colleagues to undertake and support this review and will it be beneficial?	Yes – apply other criteria and consider inclusion No – apply other criteria and consider removing
Avoidance of duplication of effort	Is this topic area very similar to one already being scrutinised in another arena or has it already been investigated in the recent past?	Yes – consider involvement in the existing activity or consider removing No – apply other criteria and consider inclusion.

Appendix 2

Work Programme 2016/17

5 April 2017	Work Programme Session
	To agree a draft work programme for 2017/18

Scrutiny Review Topics 2016/17

	Topic	Comments
1	Graduate retention and recruitment in Nottingham – a review to look more closely at what work is taking place to improve and encourage graduates to remain in the city.	 Status – to be scheduled Councillor Azad Choudhry to chair the Panel. Scope needs to finalised with chair and submitted for approval to OSC.
2	Impact of Welfare Reform in Nottingham – What is the impact of the Welfare Reforms introduced in April 2013 on citizens and services in Nottingham.	 Status – to be scheduled Councillor Glyn Jenkins to chair the Panel. Scope needs to finalised with chair and submitted for approval to OSC.
3	Fracking in Nottingham and Nottinghamshire – a review to look closely at the impact of fracking in Nottingham and neighbouring authorities.	 Status – to be scheduled Councillor Brian Parbutt to chair the Panel. Scope needs to finalised with chair and submitted for approval to OSC.
4	Effectiveness of Nottingham City Council's consultation process	 Status – to be scheduled Councillor Glyn Jenkins to chair the Panel. Scope needs to finalised with chair and submitted for approval to OSC.
5	Parking enforcement in Nottingham	 Status – to be scheduled Councillor Azad Choudhry to chair the Panel. Scope needs to finalised with chair and submitted for approval to OSC.
6	Housing regeneration in Nottingham – Nottingham City Council aims to build 2.500 new houses that Nottingham people can afford to rent or buy. Is that a deliverable target?	 Status – to be scheduled Councillor Sue Johnson to chair the Panel. Scope needs to finalised with chair and submitted for approval to OSC.
7	Cycling in Nottingham – Nottingham City Council has an ambitious vision for a cycle city and recently secured £6.1m to kick start an overhaul of the Council's cycling facilities.	 Status – to be scheduled Councillor Glyn Jenkins to chair the Panel. Scope needs to finalised with chair and submitted for approval to OSC.